



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,877	12/21/2001	Hung-Liang Chin	CHIN3013/EM	4631

23364 7590 03/14/2005

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 SLATERS LANE
FOURTH FLOOR
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

WILLETT, STEPHAN F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2142

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/023,877

Applicant(s)

CHIN ET AL.

Examiner

Stephan F Willett

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "Read[ing] through the said specific screen model" is unclear, but it was assumed for examination purposes that user had been authorized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Win et al. with Patent Number 6,453,353.
5. Regarding claim(s) 1, Win teaches user access levels to specific data. Win teaches while a client logs, col. 6, lines 1-3 on executing an authentication routine based on input to determine an authorization level, col. 5, line 44. Win teaches based on the authorization level collecting

Art Unit: 2142

web pages from master/slave servers, col. 24, lines 17-18 the client is permitted to browse, col. 6, lines 58-65 and edit, col. 12, line 6. Win teaches displaying the page of a specific screen model, col. 6, lines 63-65, col.. 9, lines 4-7. Win teaches the web page containing information based on roles such as good transactions, col. 5, line 26, finances, line 43, and production and materials, lines 41-42 .

6. Regarding claim(s) 2, Win teaches directly accessing the web server, col. 6, lines 3-9.

7. Regarding claim(s) 3, Win teaches command inputs of ID and verification numbers, col. 6, line 3 which is matched with database records, col. 6, lines 42-44; col. 7, lines 1-2, and the collect web pages based on said authorization level, col. 6, lines 58-65.

8. Regarding claim(s) 4, Win teaches an identification and authorization comparison table, col. 22, line 48 with IDs and names, col. 6, line 3 and verification numbers as “encrypted formats”, col. 6, line 62 and col. 22 49 and col. 7, line 51. Win teaches a group and authorization comparison table consisting of group names and user names and IDs, col. 5, lines 33-38, all which connect or match with web page names of URLs, col. 5, lines 19-20, 60-62.

9. Regarding claim(s) 5, Win teaches searching for ID by group and groups for ID, col. 8, lines 51-54; col. 15, lines 21-44 and then accessing and displaying said requested web pages, col. 6, lines 63-65, col.. 9, lines 4-7.

10. Regarding claim(s) 6, Win teaches when incorrect Ids are input, requesting the client to once again output Ids as an “error”, col. 9, lines 57-61.

11. Regarding claim(s) 7, Win teaches a specific screen model, col. 6, lines 63-65, col.. 9, lines 4-7. web page names and connection sites or URLs and/or hyperlinks, col. 8, lines 7-12; col. 11, lines 57-59.

Art Unit: 2142

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is disclosed in the Notice of References Cited. A close review of the references is suggested. A close review of the Snively reference with Patent Number 6,772,167 and Sampson reference with Patent Number 6,490,624 are suggested. The other references cited teach numerous other ways to limit access to web pages via single log in, thus a close review of them is suggested.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephan Willett whose telephone number is (571) 272-3890. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey, can be reached on (571) 272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

3. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.



Stephan Willett

Patent Examiner

March 9, 2005